Name of Applicant / RICHES

Name of Reviewer

Spring 2012_Tourism Grant Application Selection Criteria Summary (Tourism Review Panel scoring)

			(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5)	5	_20	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage tonger-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) <u>*</u>	_ 5	<u> 20 c</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is the budget and plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>2</u>	_ 2	4:	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	. 1	3	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive? Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.
(1-5) <u></u>	. 2	<u>g.</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL	L POINTS:	<u>55</u>	
Add Prefere	ence Points		
0-	10		Shoulder Season – before June and after September
· 0-	10	<u>le</u>	Outlying Areas
0-	10		Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0	10	_7_	Family Friendliness
Deduct Pen	alty Points		
	-10		Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
TOTAL PO	INTS	114	
Reviewer	Conflict of Inte	rest:	
Comments:			
	M. Cape A. A. M. Cape B. M. Cape B. M. Cape B. M. Cape B. Cape		12 pa. 18
Do you rece	ommend this pro	oject for funding:	☑ YES □ NO Partial funding: \$ 1000

Res	Cite	Redors
Name of Appli	cant	

Assu Allway Name of Reviewer

\$10,0	500
--------	-----

Spring 2012_Tourism Grant Application Selection Criteria Summary (Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) 3	5	15	How well does/will this project increase tourism?
(1-5) 4	5	20	Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits? Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is the budget and plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed?
(1-5) 3	2	6	Is management and/or administration capable? Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) 3	1	3	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive? Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.
(1-5)	2	4	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL PO	DINTS:	48	
Add Preference	e Points		
0-10		<u>-</u>	Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10		<u>2</u> 3	Outlying Areas
0-10		3	Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		<u>D</u>	Family Friendliness
<u>Deduct Penalty</u> -	<u>Points</u>	<u>5</u>	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
TOTAL POINTS	S	<i>5</i> 3	_
Reviewer Cor	aflict of Inte	erest:	
Comments:			
•			, 00

Form # KCF 3008 Revised the 28th of September, 2011

Do you recommend this project for funding: ▼ YES □ NO Partial funding: \$

Name of Applicant

MARQUEZ

Name of Reviewer

Spring 2012_Tourism Grant Application Selection Criteria Summary (Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points		
(1-5)	5	20	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?	
(1-5)	5	20	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is the budget and plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?	
(1-5) 3	2	6	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?	
(1-5) 4	1	4	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive? Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.	
(1-5) <u>5</u>	2	<u> </u>	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?	
SUB-TOTAL P	OINTS:	<u>SC</u>		
Add Preferenc	e Points			
0-10		, were "	Shoulder Season – before June and after September	
0-10		5	Outlying Areas	
0-10		5	Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure	
0-10		<u> </u>	Family Friendliness	
Deduct Penalty	-	,		
	-10	BODGALL	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time	
TOTAL POINTS <u>CoC</u>				
Reviewer Conflict of Interest:				
comments: Project is in 5th year. Applicant is capable and committed. Is there a question of family friendliness? They stay at motels.				
Do you recommend this project for funding: WYES D NO Partial funding: \$				

Rame of Applicant

CHIP MASSIE.

Spring 2012_Tourism Grant Application Selection Criteria Summary (Tourism Review Panel scoring)

			(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5)/_	5	70	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5)	5	25	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is the budget and plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5)	2	<u>10</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) 4	1	<u> </u>	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive? Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.
(1-5) 3	2	<u>_b</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method? ON THE PARTICIPANT SIDILY How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL P	POINTS:	65	
Add Preference	ce Points		
0-10		_0_	Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10		L	Outlying Areas
0-10		<u> </u>	Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		4	Family Friendliness
Deduct Penalt	y Points		
	-10		Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
TOTAL POINT	rs	76	_
Reviewer Co	onflict of Inter	est:	
Comments:	† 10.000	How wi	LE VISIONS BE COUNTED ? - IS AN ENTERIN
UKAN	Flis M	OF 150,12	CUS - ALA " KRUISTI"
PARTICI	PANTS BY	2 144 40	OCUS - ALA "KRUISTIL"
Do you recom	mend this pro	ject for funding:	▼ YES □ NO Partial funding: \$ 7500.00

3th Arrad Senaus Fun Gun

Name of Reviewer 3. Sallusian

Spring 2012 Tourism Grant Application Selection Criteria Summary (Tourism Review Panel scoring)

			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
<u>Score</u>	Weight	<u>Points</u>	
(1-5)	5	35	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	5	25	Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits? Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is the budget and plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) 5	2		Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) 5	1 .	5_	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive? Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.
(1-5) 5	2	10	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL P	OINTS:	75	
Add Preferenc	e Points		
0-10		-0-	Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10		2	Outlying Areas
0-10		_/0_	Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		_10_	Family Friendliness
Deduct Penalty	Points		
	-10	5	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
TOTAL POINT	rs	103	-

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments:

\$10,000 000

1500 18 32 100 Octor

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES D NO Partial funding: \$

Form # KCF 3008 Revised the 28th of September, 2011

Ripcity	Riders
Name of Applicant	

Daubell Tehana Hame of Reviewer

Spring 2012_Tourism Grant Application Selection Criteria Summary (Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) 5	5	35	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5)	5	<u>5</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is the budget and plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) 3	2	(<u>C</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) 4	1	4_	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive? Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.
(1-5) <u></u>	2	<u>()</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL PO	INTS;	<u>70</u>	
Add Preference	<u>Points</u>	12	
0-10		4	Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10		<u>8</u>	Outlying Areas
0-10		8	Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		\leq	Family Friendliness
Deduct Penalty	<u>Points</u>		
	10		Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
TOTAL POINTS	:	af	
Reviewer Con	flict of Inter	rest:	
Comments:			
			tt 750
	nend this pro KCF 3008	ject for funding: Ì	YES NO Partial funding: \$

Form # KCF 3008 Revised the 28th of September, 2011