

Pocket Trail Map
Name of Applicant

George Rogers
Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application – Sponsorship Program
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-10) <u>5</u>	X 10 =	<u>50</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how this project will increase out of county visitors? (Max points possible: 100)
(1-5) <u>5</u>	X 5 =	<u>25</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? (Max points possible: 25)
(1-10) <u>7</u>	X 10 =	<u>70</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? (Max points possible: 100) Is there evidence of in-kind support?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	X 10 =	<u>40</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives? (Max points possible: 50)
TOTAL POINTS		<u>195</u>	(Total amount of points possible: 275)

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: _____

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ 1000

Pocket Trail map
Name of Applicant

Weidman
Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application – Sponsorship Program
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-10)	<u>9</u> X 10 =	<u>90</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how this project will increase out of county visitors? (Max points possible: 100)
(1-5)	<u>5</u> X 5 =	<u>25</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? (Max points possible: 25)
(1-10)	<u>1</u> X 10 =	<u>10</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? (Max points possible: 100) Is there evidence of in-kind support?
(1-5)	<u>3</u> X 10 =	<u>30</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives? (Max points possible: 50)

TOTAL POINTS 175 (Total amount of points possible: 275)

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: no

Comments: _____

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ _____
Full funding \$1559

\$1559

Pocket Trail Map

Name of Applicant

Sally Deane

Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application – Sponsorship Program

Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-10)	<u>10</u>	X 10 = <u>100</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how this project will increase out of county visitors? (Max points possible: 100)

(1-5)	<u>5</u>	X 5 = <u>25</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? (Max points possible: 25)
-------	----------	-----------------	--

(1-10)	<u>8</u>	X 10 = <u>80</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? (Max points possible: 100) Is there evidence of in-kind support?
--------	----------	------------------	--

(1-5)	<u>5</u>	X 10 = <u>50</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives? (Max points possible: 50)
-------	----------	------------------	---

TOTAL POINTS 175 (Total amount of points possible: 275)

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments

:

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ _____

Spence MAP

MARQUEZ

Name of Applicant

Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application – Sponsorship Program
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-10)	<u>8</u>	X 10 = <u>80</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how this project will increase out of county visitors? (Max points possible: 100)
(1-5)	<u>5</u>	X 5 = <u>25</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? (Max points possible: 25)
(1-10)	<u>8</u>	X 10 = <u>80</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? (Max points possible: 100) Is there evidence of in-kind support?
(1-5)	<u>3</u>	X 10 = <u>30</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives? (Max points possible: 50)
TOTAL POINTS		<u>215</u>	(Total amount of points possible: 275)

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: _____

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ 1559