ROCK : ARLROWHUAD

CHIP MASSIE

Tourism Grant Application Selection Criteria Summary (Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5)	5		How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5)	5		Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5)	2		Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5)	1		Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5)	2		Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL	POINTS:		
Add Preferer	ce Points		
0-10)		Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10)		Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10)		Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10)		Family Friendliness
Deduct Pena	lty Points		
	-10		Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
	-5		Vague or rambling responses.
TOTAL POIN	ITS		<u>-</u>
Reviewer C	onflict of Inte	rest:	
Comments:	Dors	NOT ME	ET CYCLE CP19847/A
Do you reco	mmend this pro	eject for funding:	☐ YES PNO Partial funding: \$

Rock and	Arrowhead
Name of Applicant	

Matt Dadson

Tourism Grant Application Selection Criteria Summary (Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5)	. 5		How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5)	5		Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5)	. 2	-	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5)	. 1		Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5)	2		Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL	L POINTS:	American very collect Management	
Add Prefere	ence Points		
0-	10		Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-	10		Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-	10		Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-	10		Family Friendliness
Deduct Per	nalty Points		
	-10	·	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
	-5		Vague or rambling responses.
TOTAL PO	INTS		_
	Conflict of Inte		
Comments:	Event	has pas	sed prior to awards
		-	-
Do you rec	ommend this pro	eject for funding:	☐ YES ☑ NO Partial funding: \$

Rock of Applicant

Lesa Sullnung Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application Selection Criteria Summary (Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5)	5		How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5)	5		Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5)	2		Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5)	1		Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5)	2		Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL	POINTS:		
Add Prefere	nce Points		
0-1	0		Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-1	0		Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-1	0		Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-1	0		Family Friendliness
Deduct Pena	alty Points		
	-10		Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
	-5		Vague or rambling responses.
TOTAL POI	NTS		
Reviewer (Conflict of Inte		
Comments:	d	loesn't	meet donation cycle
			V
			A
Do vou reco	ommend this pro	piect for funding:	☐ YES ☐ NO Partial funding: \$

Roch & Arrowhand Class
Name of Applicant

Julie Okn Manhom.
Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application Selection Criteria Summary (Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	<u>,</u>
(1-5) <u>3</u>	5	*************	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) 3	5	-	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	2		Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	1		Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5) 2	2		Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL P	OINTS:		
Add Preference	e Points		
0-10			Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10			Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10			Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		· · ·	Family Friendliness
Deduct Penalty	/ Points		
	-10		Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
	-5		Vague or rambling responses.
TOTAL POINT	'S		_
Reviewer Co	nflict of Inter	est: <u>Mle</u>	to apply in spring cycle
Comments:			
Do vou recom	mend this prol	ect for funding:	☐ YES ☑ NO Partial funding: \$

Form # KCF 3008 Revised the 4th of , 2013

	ROCE	and	MICO
Jame of Applicant			

Rock and Arrow

MARQUEZ____

Fall-2013: Tourism Grant Application				
Selection Criteria Summary				
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)				

			(Tourism Review Panel scoring)
Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5)	5		How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5)	5		Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5)	2		Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5)	1		Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5)	2		Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL P	OINTS:		
Add Preference	e Points		
0-10			Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10			Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10			Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10			Family Friendliness
Deduct Penalty	/ Points		
	-10	·	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
	-5		Vague or rambling responses.
TOTAL POINT	s		_
Reviewer Co	nflict of Inter	est:	
Comments:	lsn't	it too	Cate for 20142.
			~~
Do you recomm	nend this proj	ect for funding:	☐ YES ☐ NO Partial funding: \$