


Spence mto.
Name of Applicant


Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application – Traditional Program Infrastructure
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-10) <u>8</u>	10 10	<u>80</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how this project will increase out of county visitors?
(1-10) <u>5</u>	5	<u>25</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	5	<u>25</u>	Are the budget and marketing plan realistic?
(1-10) <u>90</u>	10	<u>90</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the project will leverage funding?
(1-10) <u>7</u>	10	<u>70</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the organization will create sustainable marketing networks?
(1-10) <u>90</u>	10	<u>90</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support?
(1-5) _____	10	_____	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives?
TOTAL POINTS		<u>380</u>	

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: _____

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ _____

KTA: Spence Mtn. Trail
Name of Applicant

Julie Van Moorhem
Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application – Traditional Program Infrastructure
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-10) <u>8</u>	10	<u>80</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how this project will increase out of county visitors?
(1-10) <u>10</u>	5	<u>50</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	5	<u>20</u>	Are the budget and marketing plan realistic?
(1-10) <u>7</u>	10	<u>70</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the project will leverage funding?
(1-10) <u>7</u>	10	<u>70</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the organization will create sustainable marketing networks?
(1-10) <u>8</u>	10	<u>80</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	10	<u>30</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives?
TOTAL POINTS		<u>400</u> ✓	

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: _____

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ _____

Spence Mountain

Name of Applicant

George Rogers

Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application – Traditional Program Infrastructure
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-10) <u>7</u>	10	<u>70</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how this project will increase out of county visitors?
(1-10) <u>6</u>	5	<u>30</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	5	<u>15</u>	Are the budget and marketing plan realistic?
(1-10) <u>6</u>	10	<u>60</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the project will leverage funding?
(1-10) <u>6</u>	10	<u>60</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the organization will create sustainable marketing networks?
(1-10) <u>6</u>	10	<u>60</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support?
(1-5) <u>6</u>	10	<u>60</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives?
TOTAL POINTS		<u>355</u>	

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments:

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$_____

Spence Mt.
Name of Applicant

MARQUEZ
Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application – Traditional Program Infrastructure
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-10) <u>8</u>	10	<u>80</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how this project will increase out of county visitors?
(1-10) <u>10</u>	5	<u>50</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	5	<u>25</u>	Are the budget and marketing plan realistic?
(1-10) <u>10</u>	10	<u>100</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the project will leverage funding?
(1-10) <u>8</u>	10	<u>80</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the organization will create sustainable marketing networks?
(1-10) <u>10</u>	10	<u>100</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	10	<u>30</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives?
TOTAL POINTS		<u>465</u>	

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____
Comments: Delighted they have applied for Oregon Tourism Grant.
Cross market w/ zipline!

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ _____

4/26/14
APP - spitz

Kristy Spence Mt

Name of Applicant

Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application – Traditional Program Infrastructure
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-10) <u>10</u>	10	<u>100</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how this project will increase out of county visitors?
(1-10) <u>10</u>	5	<u>50</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	5	<u>25</u>	Are the budget and marketing plan realistic?
(1-10) <u>7</u>	10	<u>70</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the project will leverage funding?
(1-10) <u>7</u>	10	<u>70</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the organization will create sustainable marketing networks?
(1-10) <u>10</u>	10	<u>100</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support?
(1-5) <u>2</u>	10	<u>20</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives?
TOTAL POINTS		<u>435</u>	

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments:

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ _____