

H4N: Glad-cactus Town
Name of Applicant

Juan M. Martinez
Name of Reviewer

Fall 2013 Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) <u>4</u>	5	<u>20</u>	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	5	<u>20</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	2	<u>6</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	1	<u>4</u>	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	2	<u>6</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL POINTS:		<u>56</u>	
<u>Add Preference Points</u>			
0-10		_____	Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10		_____	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10		_____	Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		<u>5</u>	Family Friendliness
<u>Deduct Penalty Points</u>			
-10		_____	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-5		_____	Vague or rambling responses.
TOTAL POINTS		<u>61</u>	

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: _____

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ 5,000

Hen GeoCache
Name of Applicant

[Signature]
Name of Reviewer

Fall 2013 Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) <u>3</u>	5	<u>15</u>	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	5	<u>20</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	2	<u>8</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	1	<u>3</u>	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	2	<u>8</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS:

54

Add Preference Points

0-10	<u>8</u>	Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10	<u>8</u>	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10	<u>5</u>	Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10	<u>10</u>	Family Friendliness

Deduct Penalty Points

-10	_____	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-5	_____	Vague or rambling responses.

TOTAL POINTS

85

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments: Geo Cache is a huge family oriented "event". 2nd year of program - needs marketing to grow. Probably a 2 day trip at a minimum.

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO

Partial funding: \$ 1500 _____

Form # KCF 3008

Revised the XXth of August, 2013

Herald and News

VLSB GeoTour

Name of Applicant

Risa Sallaway

Name of Reviewer

Fall 2013 Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points
(1-5) <u>3</u>	5	<u>15</u>
(1-5) <u>4</u>	5	<u>20</u>
(1-5) <u>4</u>	2	<u>8</u>
(1-5) <u>4</u>	1	<u>4</u>
(1-5) <u>4</u>	2	<u>8</u>

How well does/will this project increase tourism?
Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?

Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project?
Are the budget and marketing plan realistic?
What is the potential to succeed?
Is management and/or administration capable?

Is there demonstrated community support?
Is there evidence of in-kind support?
Are there endorsements by community groups?

Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?

Is there a strong evaluation method?
How will the applicant document the impact?
Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: 55

Add Preference Points

0-10	<u>5</u>	Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10	<u>7</u>	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10	<u>5</u>	Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10	<u>8</u>	Family Friendliness

25

Deduct Penalty Points

-10	<u>/</u>	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-5	<u>/</u>	Vague or rambling responses.

TOTAL POINTS 80

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments: Asking for 10,000

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ 10,000

H: N LEGACY BYWAY GEO
Name of Applicant

CHIP MASSIE
Name of Reviewer

Fall 2013 Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score Weight Points

(1-5) 5 5 25

How well does/will this project increase tourism?
Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?

(1-5) 5 5 25

Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project?
Are the budget and marketing plan realistic?
What is the potential to succeed?
Is management and/or administration capable?

(1-5) 5 2 10

Is there demonstrated community support?
Is there evidence of in-kind support?
Are there endorsements by community groups?

(1-5) 5 1 5

Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?

(1-5) 5 2 10

Is there a strong evaluation method?
How will the applicant document the impact?
Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: 75

Add Preference Points

0-10 5

Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September

0-10 7

Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary

0-10 2

Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure

0-10 10

Family Friendliness

Deduct Penalty Points

-10 -

Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time

-5 -

Vague or rambling responses.

TOTAL POINTS 99

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments: VERY GOOD PROJECT. THAT BUILDS ON PREVIOUS SUCCESS

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ Full

Herald & News - Geo Cache
Name of Applicant

Matt Dodson
Name of Reviewer

Fall 2013 Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) <u>3</u>	5	<u>15</u>	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	5	<u>25</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>1</u>	2	<u>2</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	1	<u>4</u>	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5) <u>2</u>	2	<u>4</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL POINTS:		<u>50</u>	
<u>Add Preference Points</u>			
0-10		<u>6</u>	Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10		<u>4</u>	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10		<u>4</u>	Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		<u>4</u>	Family Friendliness
<u>Deduct Penalty Points</u>			
-10		<u> </u>	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-5		<u> </u>	Vague or rambling responses.
TOTAL POINTS		<u>72</u>	

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: \$1,500 for website register

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ 5,000

herald and news questour
Name of Applicant

marquez
Name of Reviewer

Fall 2013 Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

RECEIVED
MAY 23 2014
KLAMATH COUNTY TREASURER

Score Weight Points

(1-5) 5 5 25

How well does/will this project increase tourism?
Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?

(1-5) 5 5 25

Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project?
Are the budget and marketing plan realistic?
What is the potential to succeed?
Is management and/or administration capable?

(1-5) 5 2 10

Is there demonstrated community support?
Is there evidence of in-kind support?
Are there endorsements by community groups?

(1-5) 5 1 5

Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?

(1-5) 5 2 10

Is there a strong evaluation method?
How will the applicant document the impact?
Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS:

75

Add Preference Points

0-10

5

Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September

0-10

5

Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary

0-10

5

Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure

0-10

~~5~~
10

Family Friendliness

Deduct Penalty Points

-10

Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time

-5

Vague or rambling responses.

TOTAL POINTS

100

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments: Excellent project by group well able to execute it.

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO

Partial funding: \$

Full