

KCHamber

Name of Applicant

Don

Name of Reviewer

Spring 2012 Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score Weight Points

(1-5) 4 5 20

How well does/will this project increase tourism?
Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?

(1-5) 3 5 15

Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project?
Is the budget and plan realistic?
What is the potential to succeed?
Is management and/or administration capable?

(1-5) 3 2 6

Is there demonstrated community support?
Is there evidence of in-kind support?
Are there endorsements by community groups?

(1-5) 4 1 4

Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.

(1-5) 3 2 6

Is there a strong evaluation method?
How will the applicant document the impact?
Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: 51

Add Preference Points

0-10 _____

Shoulder Season – before June and after September

0-10 2

Outlying Areas

0-10 4

Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure

0-10 4

Family Friendliness

Deduct Penalty Points

-10 _____

Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time

TOTAL POINTS 61

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: _____

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ 3000

Klamath Chambers
Name of Applicant

Lisa Tallonay
Name of Reviewer

\$3500

Spring 2012 Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) <u>4</u>	5	<u>20</u>	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	5	<u>25</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is the budget and plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	2	<u>8</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	1	<u>3</u>	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive? Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.
(1-5) <u>3</u>	2	<u>6</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL POINTS:		<u>62</u>	
<u>Add Preference Points</u>			
0-10		<u>5</u>	Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10		<u>3</u>	Outlying Areas
0-10		<u>0</u>	Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		<u>3</u>	Family Friendliness
<u>Deduct Penalty Points</u>			
-10		<u>11</u>	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
TOTAL POINTS		<u>73</u>	

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: _____

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ 3,500

Chamber of Commerce
Name of Applicant

Kate Marquez
Name of Reviewer

Spring 2012 Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) <u>4</u>	5	<u>20</u>	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	5	<u>20</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is the budget and plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>2</u>	2	<u>4</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? <i>should have letters from sky lakes, etc.</i> Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	1	<u>3</u>	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive? <i>Needs more specificity.</i> Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.
(1-5) <u>54</u>	2	<u>108</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method? <i>eval. # of web hits, but diff to evaluate effect on tourism.</i> How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL POINTS:			<u> </u>
<u>Add Preference Points</u>			
0-10		<u>5</u>	Shoulder Season -- before June and after September
0-10		<u>0</u>	Outlying Areas
0-10		<u>0</u>	Length of Stay -- encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		<u>5</u>	Family Friendliness
<u>Deduct Penalty Points</u>			
-10		<u>n/a</u>	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
TOTAL POINTS			<u>65</u>

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments: Should have letters from partners (sky lakes, etc)
Excellent 10 k match from USDA. Could have used more
specifics on content. Very competent applicant.
Request 3,500

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ 3,500

Name of Applicant Community Web Portal

Name of Reviewer B. Sullivan

Spring 2012 Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) <u>3</u>	5	<u>15</u>	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	5	<u>25</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is the budget and plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	2	<u>10</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	1	<u>5</u>	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive? Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.
(1-5) <u>5</u>	2	<u>10</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

*101 class
not attended?*

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: 65

Add Preference Points

0-10	<u>10</u>	Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10	<u>10</u>	Outlying Areas
0-10	<u>10</u>	Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10	<u>10</u>	Family Friendliness

Deduct Penalty Points

-10	<u>0</u>	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-----	----------	---

TOTAL POINTS 105

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments: \$3500 *105 / 3500 = .289 per visit*

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$

KF Chamber of Commerce
Name of Applicant

Sannielle Tenema
Name of Reviewer

Spring 2012 Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score Weight Points

(1-5) _____ 5 _____

How well does/will this project increase tourism?
Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?

(1-5) _____ 5 _____

Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project?
Is the budget and plan realistic?
What is the potential to succeed?
Is management and/or administration capable?

(1-5) _____ 2 _____

Is there demonstrated community support?
Is there evidence of in-kind support?
Are there endorsements by community groups?

(1-5) _____ 1 _____

Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.

(1-5) _____ 2 _____

Is there a strong evaluation method?
How will the applicant document the impact?
Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: _____

Add Preference Points

0-10 _____

Shoulder Season – before June and after September

0-10 _____

Outlying Areas

0-10 _____

Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure

0-10 _____

Family Friendliness

Deduct Penalty Points

-10 _____

Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time

TOTAL POINTS _____

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Chamber Member / OK Based Member

Comments: _____

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ _____