

Steens Sports Park
Name of Applicant

Morquez
Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application – Traditional Program Infrastructure
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-10) <u>10</u>	10	<u>0 100</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how this project will increase out of county visitors?
(1-10) <u>10</u>	5	<u>0 50</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	5	<u>0 20</u>	Are the budget and marketing plan realistic?
(1-10) <u>10</u>	10	<u>0 100</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the project will leverage funding?
(1-10) <u>7</u>	10	<u>0 70</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the organization will create sustainable marketing networks?
(1-10) <u>10</u>	10	<u>0 100</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	10	<u>0 40</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives?
TOTAL POINTS		<u>0 480</u>	

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments:

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ 42,000

Already a great facility, well worthwhile to complete 3 additional fields, so Klamath County can host softball tournaments.

Budget not perfectly clear – figures for 3 field at about \$877, much of that in-kind.

I hope Steens will ~~be~~ partner actively with DK. I believe they think of themselves ~~as~~ as a sports facility (which they are). They may need some help from DK to ^{successfully} realize their role as a tourism entity.

Stein Sports Park
Name of Applicant

Jillie Van Morden
Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application – Traditional Program Infrastructure
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-10) <u>9</u>	10	<u>90</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how this project will increase out of county visitors?
(1-10) <u>8</u>	5	<u>40</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>4?</u>	5	<u>20</u>	Are the budget and marketing plan realistic?
(1-10) <u>8</u>	10	<u>80</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the project will leverage funding?
(1-10) <u>7</u>	10	<u>70</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the organization will create sustainable marketing networks?
(1-10) <u>10</u>	10	<u>100</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	10	<u>40</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives?
TOTAL POINTS		<u>440</u>	

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: _____

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ _____

STEEN BALLFIELDS
Name of Applicant

C. O'BRIEN
Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application -- Traditional Program Infrastructure
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-10) <u>10</u>	10	<u>100</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how this project will increase out of county visitors?
(1-10) <u>5</u>	5	<u>50</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	5	<u>25</u>	Are the budget and marketing plan realistic?
(1-10) <u>4</u>	10	<u>40</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the project will leverage funding?
(1-10) <u>3</u>	10	<u>30</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the organization will create sustainable marketing networks?
(1-10) <u>5</u>	10	<u>50</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	10	<u>30</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives?
TOTAL POINTS		<u>225</u>	

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: _____

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ 25,000

Steen Sports Park

Name of Applicant

Lisa Sallnoway

Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application – Traditional Program Infrastructure
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-10) <u>7</u>	10	<u>70</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how this project will increase out of county visitors?
(1-10) <u>7</u>	5	<u>35</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	5	<u>15</u>	Are the budget and <u>marketing</u> plan realistic?
(1-10) <u>6</u>	10	<u>60</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the project will leverage funding?
(1-10) <u>5</u>	10	<u>50</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the organization will create sustainable marketing networks?
(1-10) <u>7</u>	10	<u>70</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	10	<u>30</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives?
TOTAL POINTS		270 330	

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments:

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ _____

STEVEN SPORTS PARK
Name of Applicant

CHIP MASSIE
Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application – Traditional Program Infrastructure
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-10) <u>7</u>	10	<u>0 70</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how this project will increase out of county visitors?
(1-10) <u>10</u>	5	<u>0 50</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	5	<u>0 25</u>	Are the budget and marketing plan realistic?
(1-10) <u>10</u>	10	<u>0 100</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the project will leverage funding?
(1-10) <u>2</u>	10	<u>0 20</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the organization will create sustainable marketing networks?
(1-10) <u>10</u>	10	<u>0 100</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	10	<u>0 30</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives?
TOTAL POINTS		<u>0 395</u>	

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: _____

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ _____

Steen Sports Park
Name of Applicant

Matt Dodson
Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application – Traditional Program Infrastructure
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)


Score	Weight	Points	
(1-10) <u>8</u>	10	<u>80</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how this project will increase out of county visitors?
(1-10) <u>10</u>	5	<u>50</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	5	<u>25</u>	Are the budget and marketing plan realistic?
(1-10) <u>9</u>	10	<u>90</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the project will leverage funding?
(1-10) <u>8</u>	10	<u>80</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the organization will create sustainable marketing networks?
(1-10) <u>5</u>	10	<u>50</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	10	<u>30</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives?
TOTAL POINTS		<u>405</u>	

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments: Seems like it fits with the purpose of Infrastructure, create something that will bring people here.

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ _____

Steen Sports Park
Name of Applicant


Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application -- Traditional Program Infrastructure
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-10) <u>3</u>	10	<u>30</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how this project will increase out of county visitors?
(1-10) <u>4</u>	5	<u>20</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>1</u>	5	<u>5</u>	Are the budget and marketing plan realistic?
(1-10) <u>3</u>	10	<u>30</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the project will leverage funding?
(1-10) <u>2</u>	10	<u>20</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the organization will create sustainable marketing networks?
(1-10) <u>4</u>	10	<u>40</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	10	<u>30</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives?
TOTAL POINTS		<u>175</u>	

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments: How does this fit into Tiger - Any other fields so would these be used?

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ 20000 —