

Cal-Or Resources
Name of Applicant

Lisa Salloway
Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) <u>2</u>	5	<u>10</u>	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	5	<u>15</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>2</u>	2	<u>4</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	1	<u>5</u>	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	2	<u>10</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL POINTS:		<u>44</u>	
<u>Add Preference Points</u>			
0-10		<u>1</u>	Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10		<u>5</u>	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10		<u>10</u>	Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		<u>6</u>	Family Friendliness
		<u>22</u>	
<u>Deduct Penalty Points</u>			
-10		<u>-10</u>	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-5		<u> </u>	Vague or rambling responses.
TOTAL POINTS		<u>total 56</u>	

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: _____

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ 5,000

Orin-CAL - ART of SURVIVAL BIKE
Name of Applicant

CHIP MASSIE
Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) <u>3</u>	5	<u>15</u>	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	5	<u>25</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	2	<u>10</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	1	<u>3</u>	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	2	<u>6</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL POINTS:		<u>59</u>	
<u>Add Preference Points</u>			
0-10		<u>2</u>	Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10		<u>5</u>	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10		<u>5</u>	Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		<u>10</u>	Family Friendliness
<u>Deduct Penalty Points</u>			
-10		<u>-10</u>	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-5		<u> </u>	Vague or rambling responses.
TOTAL POINTS		<u>71</u>	

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: _____

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ 5,000

Pre-Cal RC + D:

Art of Survival Century
Name of Applicant Bicycle Ride

Julie Ann Moorhead
Name of Reviewer

**Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)**

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) <u>3</u>	5	<u>15</u>	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	5	<u>20</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	2	<u>8</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	1	<u>4</u>	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	2	<u>8</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL POINTS:		<u>55</u>	
<u>Add Preference Points</u>			
0-10		<u>2</u>	Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10		<u>5</u>	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10		<u>8</u>	Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		<u>5</u>	Family Friendliness
<u>Deduct Penalty Points</u>			
-10		<u>10</u>	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-5		<u> </u>	Vague or rambling responses.
TOTAL POINTS		<u>65</u>	

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: _____

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ 5,000

Ore-Cal Resource
Name of Applicant

Matt Dodson
Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) <u>3</u>	5	<u>15</u>	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	5	<u>25</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	2	<u>6</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	1	<u>5</u>	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	2	<u>10</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL POINTS:		<u>61</u>	
<u>Add Preference Points</u>			
0-10		<u>0</u>	Event held during the Shoulder Season -- before June and after September
0-10		<u>10</u>	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10		<u>5</u>	Length of Stay -- event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		<u>10</u>	Family Friendliness
<u>Deduct Penalty Points</u>			
-10		<u>-10</u>	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-5		<u> </u>	Vague or rambling responses.
TOTAL POINTS		<u>86</u> 76	

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments: \$10,000 for 72 riders... seems high

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ 5,000

One Cal

MARQUEZ

Name of Applicant

Name of Reviewer

Fall-2013 Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points
(1-5) <u>4</u>	5	<u>20</u>
(1-5) <u>4</u>	5	<u>20</u>
(1-5) <u>3</u>	2	<u>6</u>
(1-5) <u>4</u>	1	<u>4</u>
(1-5) <u>4</u>	2	<u>8</u>

How well does/will this project increase tourism?
Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?

Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project?
Are the budget and marketing plan realistic?
What is the potential to succeed?
Is management and/or administration capable?

Is there demonstrated community support?
Is there evidence of in-kind support?
Are there endorsements by community groups?

Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?

Is there a strong evaluation method?
How will the applicant document the impact?
Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS:

58

Add Preference Points

0-10	<u> </u>	Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10	<u>5</u>	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10	<u>5</u>	Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10	<u>5</u>	Family Friendliness

When is Memorial Day?

Deduct Penalty Points

-10	<u>10</u>	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-5	<u> </u>	Vague or rambling responses.

TOTAL POINTS

73 - 63

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments: Excellent event w/ group well capable of pulling it off. I am still uncertain of benefit to Klamath. vs. Sio Kiyon.

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ 4,000

ORE-CAL Resource
Name of Applicant

Echo Murray
Name of Reviewer

Fall-2013 Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) <u>4</u>	5	<u>20</u>	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	5	<u>15</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	2	<u>8</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	1	<u>4</u>	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	2	<u>8</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL POINTS:		<u>50</u>	
<u>Add Preference Points</u>			
0-10		<u>2</u>	Event held during the Shoulder Season -- before June and after September
0-10		<u>5</u>	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10		<u>5</u>	Length of Stay -- event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		<u>5</u>	Family Friendliness
<u>Deduct Penally Points</u>			
-10		_____	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-5		_____	Vague or rambling responses.
TOTAL POINTS		<u>72</u>	

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: Well written grant.

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ 9000