

CHUMULT CAT  
Name of Applicant

CHIP  
Name of Reviewer

Fall 2013 Tourism Grant Application  
Selection Criteria Summary  
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) <u>2</u>	5	<u>10</u>	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	5	<u>25</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	2	<u>10</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	1	<u>3</u>	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	2	<u>6</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL POINTS:		<u>54</u>	
<u>Add Preference Points</u>			
0-10		<u>0</u>	Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10		<u>10</u>	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10		<u>3</u>	Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		<u>10</u>	Family Friendliness
<u>Deduct Penalty Points</u>			
-10		_____	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-5		_____	Vague or rambling responses.
TOTAL POINTS		<u>77</u>	

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Do you recommend this project for funding:  YES  NO Partial funding: \$ Fund 2000  
Form # KCF 3008  
Revised the XX<sup>th</sup> of August, 2013

CHEMULT COMMUNITY ACTION TEAM  
Name of Applicant

R. WILLIAMS  
Name of Reviewer

Fall 2013 Tourism Grant Application  
Selection Criteria Summary  
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points
(1-5) <u>3</u>	5	<u>15</u>
(1-5) <u>4</u>	5	<u>20</u>
(1-5) <u>5</u>	2	<u>10</u>
(1-5) <u>4</u>	1	<u>4</u>
(1-5) <u>3</u>	2	<u>6</u>
SUB-TOTAL POINTS:		<u>55</u>

How well does/will this project increase tourism?  
Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?

Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project?  
Are the budget and marketing plan realistic?  
What is the potential to succeed?  
Is management and/or administration capable?

Is there demonstrated community support?  
Is there evidence of in-kind support?  
Are there endorsements by community groups?

Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?

Is there a strong evaluation method?  
How will the applicant document the impact?  
Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

Add Preference Points

0-10	<u>0</u>	Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10	<u>10</u>	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10	<u>5</u>	Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10	<u>10</u>	Family Friendliness

Deduct Penalty Points

-10	<u>0</u>	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time <u>DON'T KNOW HISTORY</u>
-5	<u>0</u>	Vague or rambling responses.

TOTAL POINTS

80

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments: I WOULD ENCOURAGE YOUR GROUP TO CREATE A SUNDAY  
EVENT SUCH AS A PICNIC OR A CRAFTS FAIR.  
MY WIFE AND I WILL ADD THIS TO NEXT SUMMERS "TO DO"  
LIST.

Do you recommend this project for funding:  YES  NO Partial funding: \$ 2,000

Chemult Community Action Team  
Name of Applicant

Lisa Galloway  
Name of Reviewer

Fall 2013 Tourism Grant Application  
Selection Criteria Summary  
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score                      Weight                      Points

(1-5) 2                      5                      10

How well does/will this project increase tourism?  
Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?

(1-5) 5                      5                      25

Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project?  
Are the budget and marketing plan realistic?  
What is the potential to succeed?  
Is management and/or administration capable?

(1-5) 3                      2                      6

Is there demonstrated community support?  
Is there evidence of in-kind support?  
Are there endorsements by community groups?

(1-5) 3                      1                      3

Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?

(1-5) 3                      2                      6

Is there a strong evaluation method?  
How will the applicant document the impact?  
Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS:                      52

Add Preference Points

0-10                      0

Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September

0-10                      10

Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary

0-10                      0

Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure

0-10                      5

Family Friendliness

Deduct Penalty Points

-10                      0

Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time

-5                      0

Vague or rambling responses.

TOTAL POINTS                      67

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments: more of a community project than tourism focused

Do you recommend this project for funding:  YES  NO      Partial funding: \$ 2,000

Chemult Community Action  
Name of Applicant

Matt Dodson  
Name of Reviewer

Fall 2013 Tourism Grant Application  
**Selection Criteria Summary**  
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score                  Weight                  Points

(1-5) 3                  5                  15

How well does/will this project increase tourism?  
Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?

(1-5) 5                  5                  25

Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project?  
Are the budget and marketing plan realistic?  
What is the potential to succeed?  
Is management and/or administration capable?

(1-5) 5                  2                  10

Is there demonstrated community support?  
Is there evidence of in-kind support?  
Are there endorsements by community groups?

(1-5) 4                  1                  4

Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?

(1-5) 4                  2                  8

Is there a strong evaluation method?  
How will the applicant document the impact?  
Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS:                  62

Add Preference Points

0-10                  0

Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September

0-10                  10

Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary

0-10                  0

Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure

0-10                  5

Family Friendliness

Deduct Penalty Points

-10                  \_\_\_\_\_

Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time

-5                  \_\_\_\_\_

Vague or rambling responses.

TOTAL POINTS                  75

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments: Worth Fully Funding. New idea; lots of community support

Do you recommend this project for funding:  YES  NO    Partial funding: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Chemult CAT  
Name of Applicant

Marguez  
Name of Reviewer

Fall 2013 Tourism Grant Application  
Selection Criteria Summary  
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) <u>2</u>	5	<u>10</u>	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	5	<u>20</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	2	<u>10</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	1	<u>3</u>	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	2	<u>6</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL POINTS:		<u>49</u>	
<u>Add Preference Points</u>			
0-10		<u>    </u>	Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10		<u>10</u>	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10		<u>    </u>	Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		<u>10</u>	Family Friendliness
<u>Deduct Penalty Points</u>			
-10		<u>    </u>	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-5		<u>    </u>	Vague or rambling responses.
TOTAL POINTS		<u>69</u>	

**Reviewer Conflict of Interest:** \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: Out of KF area event w/ strong community support. They will benefit from working w/ DK to market the event. Hope to grow the event as a source of money-making and community pride. Working on community park to prepare for event.

Do you recommend this project for funding:  YES  NO Partial funding: \$ 2,000

Name of Applicant Chemult Community Action Team

Name of Reviewer B. Sullivan

Fall 2013 ~~4~~ Tourism Grant Application  
Selection Criteria Summary  
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points
(1-5) <u>3</u>	5	<u>15</u>
(1-5) <u>3</u>	5	<u>15</u>
(1-5) <u>4</u>	2	<u>8</u>
(1-5) <u>4</u>	1	<u>4</u>
(1-5) <u>3</u>	2	<u>6</u>

How well does/will this project increase tourism?  
Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?

Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project?  
Are the budget and marketing plan realistic?  
What is the potential to succeed?  
Is management and/or administration capable?

Is there demonstrated community support?  
Is there evidence of in-kind support?  
Are there endorsements by community groups?

Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?  
Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.

Is there a strong evaluation method?  
How will the applicant document the impact?  
Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: 48

Add Preference Points

0-10	<u>0</u>	Event held during the Shoulder Season -- before June and after September
0-10	<u>8</u>	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary -- Outlying Areas
0-10	<u>6</u>	Length of Stay -- event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10	<u>8</u>	Family Friendliness

Deduct Penalty -Points

-10	<u>0</u>	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-5	<u>0</u>	Vague or rambling responses.

TOTAL POINTS 70

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments: Nice to see "K" Klamath County event.

Do you recommend this project for funding:  YES  NO Partial funding: \$ 5000.00

CHEMULT Action Team

Don Williams

Name of Applicant

Name of Reviewer

Fall 2013 Tourism Grant Application  
Selection Criteria Summary  
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score Weight Points

(1-5) <u>3</u>	5	<u>15</u>	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	5	<u>20</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	2	<u>8</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	1	<u>4</u>	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	2	<u>8</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: 55

Add Preference Points

0-10	<u>7</u>	Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10	<u>10</u>	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10	<u>6</u>	Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10	<u>10</u>	Family Friendliness

Deduct Penalty Points

-10	<u>33</u>	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-5		Vague or rambling responses.

TOTAL POINTS

88

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments: Hard To Support Activity in North County  
Good Family Project.

Do you recommend this project for funding:

YES  NO

Partial funding: \$ 2000<sup>00</sup>