

Citizens for Safe Schools
Name of Applicant

Barbara Sullivan
Name of Reviewer

Fall 2012 Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

72

Score Weight Points

(1-5) 1 5 5

How well does/will this project increase tourism?
Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?

(1-5) 2 5 10

Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project?
Is the budget and plan realistic? - 100
What is the potential to succeed?
Is management and/or administration capable?

(1-5) 2 2 4

Is there demonstrated community support?
Is there evidence of in-kind support?
Are there endorsements by community groups?

(1-5) 3 1 3

Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.

(1-5) 4 2 8

Is there a strong evaluation method?
How will the applicant document the impact?
Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: 30

Add Preference Points

0-10 2

Shoulder Season – before June and after September July 13 (1) Day

0-10 5

Outlying Areas

0-10 2

Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure

0-10 2

Family Friendliness

Deduct Penalty Points

-10 0

Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time

TOTAL POINTS 41

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments:

? Under review?

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ 3,000.00

AUG 2012

SAFE Solutions

Amberis Dow

Name of Applicant

Name of Reviewer

Fall 2012 Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points
(1-5) <u>4</u>	5	<u>20</u>
(1-5) <u>4</u>	5	<u>20</u>
(1-5) <u>3</u>	2	<u>6</u>
(1-5) <u>3</u>	1	<u>3</u>
(1-5) <u>3</u>	2	<u>6</u>

How well does/will this project increase tourism?
Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?

Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project?
Is the budget and plan realistic?
What is the potential to succeed?
Is management and/or administration capable?

Is there demonstrated community support?
Is there evidence of in-kind support?
Are there endorsements by community groups?

Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.

Is there a strong evaluation method?
How will the applicant document the impact?
Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: 55

Add Preference Points

0-10	/	<u>N/A</u>	Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10		<u>3</u>	Outlying Areas
0-10		<u>3</u>	Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		<u>9</u>	Family Friendliness

Deduct Penalty Points

-10			Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-----	--	--	---

TOTAL POINTS 70

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments:

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO

Partial funding: \$ 4000⁰⁰

Citizens for Safe Schools
Name of Applicant

Dannelle Dharma
Name of Reviewer

Fall 2012 Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) _____	5	<u>20</u>	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) _____	5	<u>20</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is the budget and plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) _____	2	<u>4</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) _____	1	<u>4</u>	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive? Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.
(1-5) _____	2	<u>6</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL POINTS:		<u>56</u>	
<u>Add Preference Points</u>			
0-10		<u>0</u>	Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10		<u>0</u>	Outlying Areas
0-10		<u>4</u>	Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		<u>6</u>	Family Friendliness
<u>Deduct Penalty Points</u>			
-10		<u>68</u>	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
TOTAL POINTS		_____	

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: _____

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ 3000

Citizens for Safe Schools
Name of Applicant

Usie Galloway
Name of Reviewer

Fall 2012 Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points
(1-5) <u>2</u>	5	<u>10</u>
(1-5) <u>4</u>	5	<u>20</u>
(1-5) <u>3</u>	2	<u>6</u>
(1-5) <u>5</u>	1	<u>5</u>
(1-5) <u>3</u>	2	<u>6</u>
SUB-TOTAL POINTS:		<u>48</u>

How well does/will this project increase tourism?
Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?

Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project?
Is the budget and plan realistic?
What is the potential to succeed?
Is management and/or administration capable?

Is there demonstrated community support?
Is there evidence of in-kind support?
Are there endorsements by community groups?

Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.

Is there a strong evaluation method?
How will the applicant document the impact?
Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

Add Preference Points

0-10

0

Shoulder Season -- before June and after September

0-10

5

Outlying Areas

0-10

2

Length of Stay -- encourage early arrival and/or late departure

0-10

5

Family Friendliness

Deduct Penalty Points

-10

Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time

TOTAL POINTS

60

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: _____

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ 3,000

Chelene's for Safe Schools
Name of Applicant

Heather Gump
Name of Reviewer

Fall 2012 Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points
(1-5) <u>3</u>	5	<u>15</u>
(1-5) <u>4</u>	5	<u>20</u>
(1-5) <u>3</u>	2	<u>6</u>
(1-5) <u>3</u>	1	<u>3</u>
(1-5) <u>4</u>	2	<u>8</u>

How well does/will this project increase tourism?
Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?

Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project?
Is the budget and plan realistic?
What is the potential to succeed?
Is management and/or administration capable?

Is there demonstrated community support?
Is there evidence of in-kind support?
Are there endorsements by community groups?

Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.

Is there a strong evaluation method?
How will the applicant document the impact?
Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: 52

Add Preference Points

0-10	<u>0</u>	Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10	<u>0</u>	Outlying Areas
0-10	<u>4</u>	Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10	<u>9</u>	Family Friendliness

Deduct Penalty Points

-10	_____	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-----	-------	---

TOTAL POINTS 65

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: _____

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ 2500

Citizens for Safe Schools

Name of Applicant

Marquez

Name of Reviewer

Fall 2012 Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score Weight Points

(1-5) 3 5 15

How well does/will this project increase tourism?
Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?

(1-5) 4 5 20

Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project?
Is the budget and plan realistic?
What is the potential to succeed?
Is management and/or administration capable?

(1-5) 4 2 8

Is there demonstrated community support?
Is there evidence of in-kind support?
Are there endorsements by community groups?

(1-5) 3 1 3

Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.

(1-5) 3 2 6

Is there a strong evaluation method?
How will the applicant document the impact?
Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS:

52

Add Preference Points

0-10 _____

Shoulder Season – before June and after September

0-10 _____

Outlying Areas

0-10 5

Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure

0-10 5

Family Friendliness

Deduct Penalty Points

-10 _____

Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time

TOTAL POINTS

62

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments: No number given on out of town participants last year. May be more of a local event. Has possibility to grow regionally however. Encourage refining regional marketing plan w/ D. Klamath. Other partnerships to increase out of town participation?

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO

Partial funding: \$ 3,000