

Freedom Celebration
Name of Applicant

Ambers
Name of Reviewer

Fall 2011 Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score Weight Points

(1-5) 4 5 20

How well does/will this project increase tourism?
Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?

(1-5) 4 5 20

Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project?
Is the budget and plan realistic?
What is the potential to succeed?
Is management and/or administration capable?

(1-5) 4 2 8

Is there demonstrated community support?
Is there evidence of in-kind support?
Are there endorsements by community groups?

(1-5) 3 1 3

Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.

(1-5) 3 2 6

Is there a strong evaluation method?
How will the applicant document the impact?
Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: 57

Add Preference Points

0-10 1

Shoulder Season – before June and after September

0-10 5

Outlying Areas

0-10 5

Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure

0-10 5

Family Friendliness

15

Deduct Penalty Points

-10 _____

Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time

TOTAL POINTS 77

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: _____

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ 4000⁰⁰

Freedom Celebration

Name of Applicant

K. Marquez

Name of Reviewer

Fall 2011 Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score Weight Points

(1-5) 1 5 5

How well does/will this project increase tourism?
Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?

(1-5) 1 5 5

Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project?
Is the budget and plan realistic?
What is the potential to succeed?
Is management and/or administration capable?

(1-5) 3 2 6

Is there demonstrated community support?
Is there evidence of in-kind support?
Are there endorsements by community groups?

(1-5) 0 1 0

Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.

(1-5) 0 2 0

Is there a strong evaluation method?
How will the applicant document the impact?
Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: _____

Add Preference Points

0-10 _____

Shoulder Season – before June and after September

0-10 _____

Outlying Areas

0-10 _____

Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure

0-10 1

Family Friendliness

problem w/ vendors last year.

Deduct Penalty Points

-10 _____

Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time

TOTAL POINTS 16

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments: The lack of specificity about how they will market combined w/ grandiose claims makes this project almost impossible to review. I wish them good luck, but cannot recommend using public dollars.

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ _____

Kennison Cruise
Name of Applicant

CHIP
Name of Reviewer

Fall 2011_Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points
(1-5) <u>3</u>	5	<u>15</u>
(1-5) <u>4</u>	5	<u>20</u>
(1-5) <u>4</u>	2	<u>8</u>
(1-5) <u>2</u>	1	<u>2</u>
(1-5) <u>2</u>	2	<u>4</u>

How well does/will this project increase tourism?
Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?

Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project?
Is the budget and plan realistic?
What is the potential to succeed?
Is management and/or administration capable?

Is there demonstrated community support?
Is there evidence of in-kind support?
Are there endorsements by community groups?

Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.

Is there a strong evaluation method?
How will the applicant document the impact?
Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: 54

Add Preference Points

0-10	_____	Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10	_____	Outlying Areas
0-10	<u>5</u>	Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10	<u>2</u>	Family Friendliness

Deduct Penalty Points

-10	_____	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-----	-------	---

TOTAL POINTS 61

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: _____

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ _____

Freedom Celebration

Name of Applicant

Donnielle Thomas

Name of Reviewer

Fall 2011 Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points
(1-5) <u>5</u>	5	<u>10</u>
(1-5) <u>4</u>	5	<u>9</u>
(1-5) <u>3</u>	2	<u>5</u>
(1-5) <u>3</u>	1	<u>4</u>
(1-5) <u>3</u>	2	<u>5</u>
SUB-TOTAL POINTS:		<u>33</u>

How well does/will this project increase tourism?
Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?

Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project?
Is the budget and plan realistic?
What is the potential to succeed?
Is management and/or administration capable?

Is there demonstrated community support?
Is there evidence of in-kind support?
Are there endorsements by community groups?

Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.

Is there a strong evaluation method?
How will the applicant document the impact?
Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

Add Preference Points

0-10

0

Shoulder Season – before June and after September

0-10

0

Outlying Areas

0-10

5

Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure

0-10

5

Family Friendliness

Deduct Penalty Points

-10

0

Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time

TOTAL POINTS

43

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments: Well advertised in surrounding areas however conflicting dates in 2011.

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO

Partial funding: \$

\$5,000

3rd yr.

Name of Applicant
Klamath Falls Freedom
Celebration (Tribute to Vets,
Military, Police, etc. . . .

Name of Reviewer B Sullivan
\$10,000.00

Fall 2011 Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

20,000 visitors -

Score	Weight	Points
(1-5) <u>3</u>	5	<u>15</u>
(1-5) <u>3</u>	5	<u>15</u>
(1-5) <u>3</u>	2	<u>6</u>
(1-5) <u>4</u>	1	<u>4</u>
(1-5) <u>3</u>	2	<u>6</u>

- How well does/will this project increase tourism?
Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
- Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project?
Is the budget and plan realistic?
What is the potential to succeed?
Is management and/or administration capable?
- Is there demonstrated community support?
Is there evidence of in-kind support?
Are there endorsements by community groups?
- Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.
- Is there a strong evaluation method?
How will the applicant document the impact?
Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: 40

Add Preference Points

0-10	<u>-0</u>
0-10	<u>4</u>
0-10	<u>4</u>
0-10	<u>4</u>

- Shoulder Season - before June and after September June 28th - July 1st
- Outlying Areas - Event not going to
- Length of Stay - encourage early arrival and/or late departure 4 Days
- Family Friendliness

Deduct Penalty Points

-10	<u>0</u>
-----	----------

Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time

TOTAL POINTS 58

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments:

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ 2,500.00

MS
11/20/11